

Honorable Tiffany M. Cartwright

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

RYAN RANER,

Plaintiff / Counterclaim Defendant,

v.

THE FUN PIMPS ENTERTAINMENT, LLC;
RICHARD HUENINK, JR.; and JOEL
HUENINK,

Defendants / Counterclaim Plaintiff.

Civil Action No. 22-cv-5718TMC

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO SEAL SUMMARY
JUDGMENT OPPOSITION DOCUMENTS

The Court, having considered Defendants' motion to seal (1) unredacted version of its Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment; (2) unredacted version of the Declaration of Richard Huenink (and Exhibit A thereto); and (3) entire Declaration of Drew Voth (damages expert) (and Exhibits 1-10 thereto), each of which contains sensitive financial information or competitive information considered confidential in this case, concludes as follows.

The Local Civil Rules provide that where a party wishes to file a confidential document under seal, the party filing the motion to seal needs to satisfy the requirements of LCR 5(g)(3)(B), which requires:

(B) A specific statement of the applicable legal standard and the reasons for keeping a document under seal, including an explanation of:

- i. the legitimate private or public interests that warrant the relief sought;
- ii. the injury that will result if the relief sought is not granted; and
- iii. why a less restrictive alternative to the relief sought is not sufficient.

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
SEAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT OPPOSITION
DOCUMENTS - 1

Civil Action No. 22-cv-5718TMC

FUNP-6-0001P056 ORD MOTSeal.docx

LOWE GRAHAM JONES P.L.L.C.



1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1130
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.381.3300 • F: 206.381.3301

1
2 Here, both parties agree that the information to be filed under seal is confidential and
3 deserves protection from disclosure to the public. There is a legitimate private interest in
4 maintaining party sensitive financial information, which includes party compensation, confidential
5 and not disclosed to the public, *inter alia* because it constitutes competitive industry trade secret
6 information related to product development. Public disclosure of such information will result in a
7 competitive disadvantage. The financial information sought to be filed under seal has been
8 designated “Confidential” under the Stipulated Protective Order governing this case. (Dkt. 49)
9 Defendants have minimized what is filed under seal through redaction, and there is no less
10 restrictive alternative to prevent public disclosure. See LCR 5(g)(3)(B). Finally, Plaintiff has
11 indicated that he does not oppose sealing the Declaration including the sensitive financial
12 information.

13 The public disclosure of this type of highly confidential and sensitive information would
14 result in severe and irreparable harm to the parties, and therefore the Court finds “compelling
15 reasons” to justify keeping the requested materials under seal. Accordingly, the Court finds that
16 Defendants have satisfied their burden under LCR 5(g)(3)(B), and GRANTS the motion to seal.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18
19 DATED this 14th day of February, 2024.

20
21 

22 Tiffany M. Cartwright
23 United States District Judge
24
25
26

Presented by:

s/ David A. Lowe, WSBA No. 24453

Lowe@LoweGrahamJones.com

LOWE GRAHAM JONES^{PLLC}

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1130

Seattle, WA 98101

T: 206.381.3300

J. Pat Heptig (admitted *pro hac vice*)

PHeptig@HeptigLaw.com

HEPTIG LAW GROUP, LTD.

4140 Deep Valley Dr.

Dallas, TX 75244

T: 214.856.0714

Attorneys for Defendants

